Document 1

Filed 01/09/25

Page 1 of 21 Page ID

Case 2:25-cv-00232-CAS-MAR

Document 1

Filed 01/09/25

Page 2 of 21 Page ID

Case 2:25-cv-00232-CAS-MAR

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT Case No. 2:25-cv-00232

234

5 6

7 8

9 10

1112

13

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

24

23

2526

2728

INTRODUCTION

The Fair Labor Standards Act requires employers to pay employees for all hours worked and an overtime premium when they work over forty hours in a workweek. Contrary to this longstanding law, the above-captioned Defendants pay their employees at flat daily rates regardless of many long hours they work.

Specifically, Defendants Angelique S. Gradney and Stephen Gradney own and operate an enterprise of Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly ("Facilities"). At these Facilities, Defendants require at least one employee caretaker to be present 24 hours a day, seven days a week to care for residents. The residents cannot care for themselves and require assistance for personal care and daily living. As a result, Defendants' caretakers work throughout the day and into the evenings and have their sleep interrupted to care for residents. Despite this, Defendants pay the caretakers flat rates regardless of the hours they work, thereby failing to pay the 50% premium owed for overtime hours and, in many workweeks, failing to even pay caretakers the Federal minimum wage of \$7.25 an hour.

In addition to the many Facilities they own and operate, Defendants also operate Home Health and Hospice Companies² that employ mobile medical staff to provide services to patients at their place of residence. There, Defendants also fail to track all hours worked and, as a result of only paying employees per visit, failed to pay employees the required 50% premium when they worked over 40 hours in a week.

Defendants' actions have harmed not only their own employees, but lawabiding employers who face unfair competition in the marketplace due to

¹ Defendants operate these through companies such as Corporate Defendants Golden Care Living, Inc; Santa Fe Home Care, Inc.; Senior Manor Care, Inc.; and Kind Heart Home Care, Inc.

² Defendants operate these through companies such as Corporate Defendants Devina Hospice Care Inc; Global Hospice Care Inc; Legend Home Health Inc; OC Home Health Inc; Santa Ana Hospice Inc; and VC Hospice Inc.

8

1213

1415

17

16

1819

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

Defendants' illegal practices. The Acting Secretary brings this case to recover wages owed to Defendants' employees, enjoin future violations, and to protect the significant public interest at stake.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The Acting Secretary brings this action under Section 17 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.*, to enjoin Defendants from violating the provisions of 3(g), 15(a)(2), 15(a)(3), 15(a)(5), 16(c), and 17 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 215(a)(2), 215(a)(3), 215(a)(4), 216(c), and 217.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over this action under FLSA §§ 16(c) and 17, 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(c) and 217; 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and 28 U.S.C § 1345 (United States as plaintiff).
- 3. Venue lies in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because the events giving rise to the claims in this enforcement action occurred within this District.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Julie A. Su is the Acting Secretary of Labor for the United States Department of Labor.

Individual Defendants

5. Defendant Angelique S. Gradney is an individual residing in Rancho Palos Verdes, California. She is an owner and officer of Corporate Defendants Golden Care Living, Inc.; Santa Fe Home Care, Inc.; Senior Manor Care, Inc.; Kind Heart Home Care, Inc.; Devina Hospice Care Inc; Global Hospice Care, Inc.; Legend Home Health, Inc.; Orange County Home Health, Inc; Santa Ana Hospice, Inc.; and VC Hospice, Inc. (collectively, "Corporate Defendants"). At all relevant times, Defendant Angelique Gradney has directed the day-to-day operations of Corporate Defendants, and has acted directly and indirectly in the interests of all

Corporate Defendants in relation to employees, including by managing operations, obtaining licenses and undergoing training from the state of California to operate all Facilities, determining employment practices, hiring and firing workers, exercising control over wages, hours, and working conditions, visiting the Facilities regularly to supervise the employees, and maintaining employment records. Defendant Angelique Gradney is individually liable as an employer under Section 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), for back wages and liquidated damages owed to employees of Defendants, including employees listed on Exhibit A to this Complaint, and all other employees not yet known to the Acting Secretary whom she later identifies.

6. Defendant Stephen P. Gradney is an individual residing in Rancho Palos Verdes, California within the jurisdiction of this Court. He is an owner and officer of Corporate Defendants. At all relevant times, individually and jointly with Defendant Angelique Gradney, Defendant Stephen Gradney has directed the day-to-day operations of Corporate Defendants, and has acted directly and indirectly in the interests of all Corporate Defendants in relation to employees, including by determining employment practices, setting employee pay, signing employee paychecks, managing complaints from residents' family members, and marketing. Defendant Stephen Gradney is individually liable as an employer under Section 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), for back wages and liquidated damages owed to employees of Defendants, including employees listed on Exhibit A to this Complaint, and all other employees not yet known to the Acting Secretary whom she later identifies.

Defendant Facilities

7. Defendant Golden Care Living, Inc. is a California corporation registered at 2052 Redondela Drive, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275. Golden Care employs caretakers in at least four facilities, including those located at: 2052 Redondela Drive, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275; 1854 El Rey Road, San Pedro,

- CA 90732; 1308 Hickory Avenue, Torrance, CA 90503; 27711 Hawthorne Blvd., Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275; and 22302 Halldale Avenue, Torrance, CA 90501. Golden Care Living provides residential home care services for the elderly and those who cannot care for themselves by employing caretakers, including the employees named in Exhibit A. At all relevant times, Golden Care Living is and has been an employer within the meaning of FLSA § 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), in relation to the employees listed on Exhibit A, and all other employees whom the Acting Secretary later identifies.
- 8. Defendant Santa Fe Home Care, Inc. is a Nevada corporation registered at 3510 Torrance Blvd Suite 114, Torrance, CA 90503. Santa Fe Home Care employs caretakers in at least four facilities, including those located at: 2340 Santa Fe Avenue, Torrance, CA 90501; 2255 Santa Fe Avenue, Torrance, CA 90501; 23223 Pryor Pl, Harbor City, CA 90710; and 5010 Torrance Blvd., Torrance, CA 90503. Santa Fe Home Care provides residential home care services for the elderly and those who cannot care for themselves by employing caretakers, including the employees named in Exhibit A. At all relevant times, Santa Fe Home Care is and has been an employer within the meaning of FLSA § 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), in relation to the employees listed on Exhibit A, and all other employees whom the Acting Secretary later identifies.
- 9. Defendant Senior Manor Care, Inc. is a California corporation registered at 2011 Santa Rena Drive, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275. Senior Manor Care employs caretakers in at least three facilities, including those located at: 2011 Santa Rena, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275; 1851 Redondela Drive, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275; 2423 Santa Fe Avenue, Torrance, CA 90501. Senior Manor Care provides residential home care services for the elderly and those who cannot care for themselves by employing caretakers, including the employees named in Exhibit A. At all relevant times, Senior Manor Care is and has been an employer within the meaning of FLSA § 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), in

relation to the employees listed on Exhibit A, and all other employees whom the Acting Secretary later identifies.

10. Defendant Kind Heart Home Care, Inc is a California corporation registered at 2033 W 231st Street, Torrance, CA 90501. Kind Heart Home Care employs caretakers in at least two Facilities located at: 2033 W 231st Street, Torrance, CA 90501; and 1644 W 222nd Street, Torrance, CA 90501. Kind Heart Home Care provides residential home care services for the elderly and those who cannot care for themselves by employing workers, including the employees named in Exhibit A. At all relevant times, Kind Heart Home Care is and has been an employer within the meaning of FLSA § 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), in relation to the employees listed on Exhibit A, and all other employees whom the Acting Secretary later identifies.

Defendant Home Health and Hospice Companies

- 11. Defendant Devina Hospice Care Inc was a California corporation registered at 24404 Vermont Ave, Suite 307-G, Harbor City, CA 90710. Devina Hospice Care provided palliative and health and hospice care by employing workers, including nurses and health attendants, who traveled to the patient's place of residence to perform caregiving work such as palliative and end-of-life care. At all relevant times, Devina Hospice Care was an employer within the meaning of FLSA § 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), in relation to the employees listed on Exhibit A, and all other employees whom the Acting Secretary later identifies.
- 12. Defendant Global Hospice Care, Inc. is a California corporation registered at 3510 Torrance Blvd Suite 215, Torrance, CA 90503. Global Hospice Care provides hospice care by employing workers, including nurses and health attendants, who travel to the patient's place of residence to perform caregiving work such as palliative and end-of-life care. At all relevant times, Devina Hospice Care is and has been an employer within the meaning of FLSA § 3(d), 29 U.S.C. §

203(d), in relation to the employees listed on Exhibit A, and all other employees whom the Acting Secretary later identifies.

- 13. Legend Home Health, Inc. is a California corporation registered at 3510 Torrance Blvd Suite 111, Torrance, CA 90503. Legend Home Health provides in-home health care by employing workers, including nurses and home health attendants, who travel to the patient's place of residence to perform a variety of services such as medication management, disease management, post-surgical care, wound care, and bathing and dressing. At all relevant times, Legend Home Health is and has been an employer within the meaning of FLSA § 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), in relation to the employees listed on Exhibit A, and all other employees whom the Acting Secretary later identifies.
- 14. Orange County Home Health, Inc is a California corporation registered at 3532 Katella Avenue Suite 111, Los Alamitos, CA 90720. Orange County Home Health provides in-home health care by employing workers, including nurses and health attendants, who travel to the patient's place of residence to perform a variety of services such as medication management, disease management, post-surgical care, wound care, and bathing and dressing. At all relevant times, Orange County Home Health is and has been an employer within the meaning of FLSA § 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), in relation to the employees listed on Exhibit A, and all other employees whom the Acting Secretary later identifies.
- 15. Santa Ana Hospice, Inc. is a California corporation registered at 610 Pacific Coast Hwy Suite 211, Seal Beach, CA 90740. Santa Ana Hospice provides hospice care by employing workers, including nurses and home health attendants, who travel to the patient's residence to perform caregiving work such as palliative and end-of-life care. At all relevant times, Santa Ana Hospice is and has been an employer within the meaning of FLSA § 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), in relation to the

employees listed on Exhibit A, and all other employees whom the Acting Secretary later identifies.

16. VC Hospice, Inc. is a California corporation registered at 23545 Crenshaw Blvd Suite 202, Torrance, CA 90505. VC Hospice provides palliative and hospice care by employing workers, including nurses and home health attendants, who travel to the patient's residence to perform caregiving work such as palliative and-of-life care At all relevant times, VC Hospice is and has been an employer within the meaning of FLSA § 3(d), 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), in relation to the employees listed on Exhibit A, and all other employees whom the Acting Secretary later identifies.

Defendants Are a Single Enterprise Covered by the FLSA

- 17. At all relevant times, Defendants Angelique Gradney and Stephen Gradney jointly owned, operated, or otherwise controlled all Corporate Defendants, causing them to act directly or indirectly in their interests, for the common business purpose of providing for-profit caregiving services. As a result, Corporate Defendants are and have been an "enterprise," as defined in FLSA § 3(r), 29 U.S.C. § 203(r), with business activities that are related and performed through unified operation or common control for a common business purpose.
- 18. At all relevant times, two or more employees of Corporate Defendants have handled products, including cleaning and/or medical supplies, made outside of California and transported into the State; and
- 19. At all relevant times, Defendants' enterprise had an annual the gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000; and, the Facilities are institutions primarily engaged in the care of the sick and aged persons who reside on the premises of such institutions.
- 20. As a result, Defendants' employees are and at all relevant times have been employees in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of

goods for commerce within the meaning of FLSA §§ 3(r)(1), 3(s)(1)(A), and 3(s)(1)(B).

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 21. Since at least October 12, 2020, Defendants have failed to pay all of their employees the required rate of time-and-a-half of the regular rate when they work in excess of 40 hours per workweek. Instead, Defendants generally paid caretaker employees at the Facilities flat rate(s), regardless of how many hours worked. Additionally, Defendants paid the employees for the Home Health and Hospice Companies at flat rates as well regardless of time spent, and did not track all the hours employees spent working, i.e., driving to a patient, being with a patient, writing reports, and other duties performed. As a result, some of these employees also worked over 40 hours a week, but were not paid the required, time-and-a-half, 50% percent premium for hours worked over 40.
- 22. Since at least October 12, 2020, Defendants have failed to pay all of their employees at the Facilities the required Federal minimum wage. Residents living in the Facilities, where the caretakers work, are elderly and cannot care for themselves; many residents require substantial assistance to walk, bathe, use the restroom, take medications, and/or perform other daily functions. State law requires at least one person "responsible and accountable for the management and administration of the facility . . . shall be on the premises 24 hours per day." Cal. Health & Safety Code § 1569.618(b). Caretakers employed in the Facilities routinely work and have worked more than eight hours per day, but Defendants paid them a flat daily rate that did not account for all hours worked. As a result, in numerous pay periods, the workers' hourly rate has been less than the Federal minimum wage of \$7.25 an hour.
- 23. Since at least October 12, 2020, Defendants have failed to make and maintain adequate and accurate records of all hours worked and wages paid during the day shift. For example, the limited timecards Defendants have produced to the

Wage and Hour Division primarily show two caretakers per Facility working eight hours a day during a 12-hour day shift, i.e., work scheduled from approximately 7 a.m. to 7 p.m., with four hours of scheduled breaks per caretaker. The time records do not accurately reflect the breaks caretakers actually took, or the hours actually worked.

- 24. Since at least October 12, 2020, Defendants have failed to maintain adequate and accurate records of all hours worked and wages paid. This includes by excluding time the caretakers worked in the Facilities after the scheduled day shift was over. An example includes caretakers were required to stay on premises at the Facilities from approximately either 7 p.m. or 8 p.m. each night until approximately 11 p.m. Defendants failed to record and pay caretakers for all of these hours.
- 25. Since at least October 12, 2020, Defendants have failed to maintain adequate and accurate records of all hours worked and wages paid by failing to track and pay for the time caretakers woke up and responded to the needs of residents in the Facilities at night.
- 26. Since at least October 12, 2020, Defendants have interfered with the investigation, including by coaching and/or intimidating workers, providing incomplete time and payroll records, and/or unreasonably delaying production of such records.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

First Cause of Action

Violation of Minimum Wage Provisions of the FLSA

- 27. The Acting Secretary incorporates the facts alleged above.
- 28. Since at least October 12, 2020, Defendants willfully violated and continue to violate the minimum wage provisions of FLSA §§ 6(a) and 15(a)(2), 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 215(a)(2), by failing to pay employees at least the federal minimum wage for all hours worked in workweeks when the employees were

engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA.

- 29. Workers employed in the Facilities routinely work and have worked more than eight hours per day, but Defendants paid them a flat daily rate that did not account for all hours worked. As a result, the workers' hourly rate has been less than the federal minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour in numerous workweeks each year.
- 30. At all relevant times, Defendants have willfully violated and continue to violate FLSA §§ 6(a) and 15(a)(2), 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 215(a)(2). Defendants knew or should have known of the FLSA's minimum wage requirements but nevertheless employed, and continue to employ, workers in the Facilities without properly compensating them.

Second Cause of Action

Violation of the Overtime Provisions of the FLSA

- 31. The Acting Secretary incorporates the facts alleged above.
- 32. Since at least October 12, 2020, Defendants have violated and continue to violate the overtime provisions of FLSA §§ 7(a) and 15(a)(2), 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a) and 215(a)(2), by employing employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA, for workweeks longer than forty hours without compensating the employees for hours worked in excess of forty at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which they were employed.
- 33. Specifically, the workers employed in the Facilities routinely work and have worked more than forty hours per week, but Defendants have not compensated them at time and one-half the regular rate at which they were employed for all hours worked in excess of forty.

- 34. Workers employed in the Home Health and Hospice Companies also worked in excess of forty hours per week, and Defendants did not maintain complete records of their hours and have not compensated them at time and one-half the regular rate at which they were employed for all hours worked in excess of forty.
- 35. At all relevant times, Defendants have willfully violated and continue to violate FLSA §§ 7(a) and 15(a)(2), 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a) and 215(a)(2). Defendants knew or should have known of the FLSA's overtime requirements but nevertheless employed, and continue to employ, workers in the Facilities and Home Health and Hospice Companies without properly compensating them for hours worked in excess of forty per workweek.

Third Cause of Action

Violation of the Recordkeeping Provisions of the FLSA

- 36. The Acting Secretary incorporates the facts alleged above.
- 37. Defendants have violated and continue to violate the recordkeeping provisions of FLSA §§ 11(c) and 15(a)(5), 29 U.S.C. §§ 211(c), 215(a)(5), by failing to make, keep, and preserve adequate and accurate records of their employees and the wages, hours and other conditions and practices of employment maintained by Defendants as prescribed by the Secretary of Labor's regulations, 29 C.F.R. Part 516.
- 38. In many if not all workweeks, Defendants failed to make, keep, and preserve adequate and accurate records of all hours worked by the caretakers employed in the Facilities.
- 39. In many if not all workweeks, Defendants failed to make, keep, and preserve adequate and accurate records of all hours worked by Home Health and Hospice Company employees.
- 40. At all relevant times, Defendants willfully violated and continue to willfully violate FLSA §§ 11(c) and 15(a)(5), 29 U.S.C. §§ 211(c), 215(a)(5).

1617

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

27

26

28

Defendants knew or should have known of the FLSA's recordkeeping requirements but nevertheless failed and continue to fail to keep proper employment records.

Fourth Cause of Action

Interference with FLSA Rights

- 41. The Acting Secretary incorporates the facts alleged above.
- 42. Defendants have violated and continue to violate § 15(a)(3) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3), including by intimidating, coaching, or attempting to intimidate employees not to cooperate with the Secretary's investigators, and otherwise interfering with employees' ability to exercise their rights under the FLSA, including by preventing the Secretary's investigators from making unannounced visits of the worksites and speaking with workers.
- 43. At all relevant times, Defendants have willfully violated and continue to violate § 15(a)(3) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3). Defendants knew or should have known of the Act's anti-retaliation provisions, and they took action against their employees to deter them from exercising their rights, including by engaging in the conduct described in ¶ 26.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, good cause having been shown, the Acting Secretary prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. enjoin and restrain Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, successors, and persons acting in active concert or participation with them from violating the minimum wage, overtime, recordkeeping, and anti-retaliation provisions of FLSA §§ 6(a), 7(a), 11(c) and 15(a)(2), 15(a)(3), and 15(a)(5), 29 U.S.C. 206(a), 207(a), 211(c), and 215(a)(2), 215(a)(3), and 215(a)(5), under FLSA § 17, 29 U.S.C. § 217;
- B. issue an order under FLSA § 16(c), 29 U.S.C. § 216(c), finding

Defendants jointly and severally liable for the unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation due to Defendants' current and former employees, for the period beginning October 12, 2020, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages (additional back wages and liquidated damages may be owed to certain employees presently unknown to Plaintiff for the period covered by this Complaint);

- C. if liquidated damages are not awarded issue an order under FLSA § 17, 29 U.S.C. § 217, enjoining Defendants from withholding payment of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation found due to Defendants' current and former employees for the period beginning October 12, 2020, plus pre-judgment interest at an appropriate interest rate;
- D. award Plaintiff the costs of this action; and
- E. grant any other relief that is necessary or appropriate.

Dated: January 9, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

SEEMA NANDA Solicitor of Labor

MARC A. PILOTIN Regional Solicitor

BORIS ORLOV Counsel for Wage and Hour

/s/ Sonya Shao SONYA SHAO Senior Trial Attorney

IDA ABHARI Trial Attorney

1 **EXHIBIT A** 2 Abad, Charles 3 Abalo, Anne Viviane 4 Abalos, Editha 5 Alionye, Daniel 6 Alminiana, Daryl John 7 Alvarez, Heherson 8 Andrada, Merle 9 Angco, Joseph 10 Apresto, Norma 11 Badillo, Christopher 12 Bailey, Laxuqsha 13 Balaga, Myra 14 Balala, Sheila Lee 15 Baldevia, Irish 16 Banker, Irma 17 Baquirin, Nita 18 Bonifacio, Carmelita 19 Bonifacio, Dominador 20 Bunag, Jose 21 Carbonel, Joel 22 Castro, Guillermo 23 Catalla, Maggie 24 Caylan, Fe 25 Chavarria, Cecilia 26 Chavarria, Cecilia 27 Chikodi, Patricia Cornejo, Florencia 28

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT Case No. 2:25-cv-00232

Page 14

1 Cosindad, Joseph 2 De Lara, Federico 3 De Leon, Allaine 4 Dela Cruz, Myrna 5 Dela Cruz, Robert 6 Dezell, Lucy 7 Di Mento, Biagio 8 Diaz, Mignion 9 Dineros, Jerald Paul 10 Dino, Cyd 11 Dulay, Robert 12 Ebora, Villadelma 13 Emelogu, Patrick 14 Espino, Catherine1 15 Espino, Christian 16 Fangon, Aurora 17 Feria, Justin Jay 18 Fernandez, Jay 19 Fernandez, Jay 20 Floranda, Jose Ruel 21 Franco, Maria Lilibeth 22 Franco, Reynaldo 23 Fretti, Angel 24 Gallandez, Flocerfida 25 Gallardo, Chester 26 Gallimore, Adam 27 Gamboa, Consuelo

Gloriani, Allen

28

1 Gonzaga, Aurelia 2 Grospe, Maricris 3 Guerrero, Beverly 4 Gurning, Ermas 5 Gutierrez, Isaura 6 Guzman, Maria Guadalupe 7 Ismael, Emilie 8 Kristianingsih, Tri 9 Lalu, Allain 10 Lazenby, Aurelia 11 Letigio, Jocelyn 12 Librando, Richard 13 Lopez, Jonathan 14 Lopez, Manuel 15 Love, Antonia 16 Lozada, Rodolfo 17 Lugtu, Rachel 18 Lugtu, Samson 19 Madarang, Marites 20 Maganes, Jasper 21 Magtal, Agnes 22 Majitma, Ryan 23 Malaluan, Arlene 24 Malaluan, Emmanuel 25 Malit, Rey 26 Mariece, Esther 27 Martin, Gertrude

Martinez, Sarah

28

1 Martir, Portia 2 Medina, Jay 3 Mendiola, Dinna 4 Mendiola, Ronald 5 Mendoza, Jester 6 Merill, Victor 7 Minay, Ruben 8 Mondragon, Dionisio 9 Nabua, Luisa 10 Nebes, Jeremy 11 Nebes, Merita 12 Nebres, Marita 13 Nijoku, Victoria 14 Nnademere, Nicholas 15 Obiageri, Ngwaogu Ebere 16 Ocampo, Vergel 17 Okechukwu, Japheth 18 Okeke, Beatrice 19 Okeuhie, James Chidi

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Okezie, Bertin

Ortega, Nelson

Portuzuel, Ivan

Portuzuela, Ian

Ramirez, Linda

Case No. 2:25-cv-00232

Repil, Bobby

Reyes, Joey

Olaco, Purification

Paras, Christopher

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

1 Reyes, Lilly 2 Ricardo, Carlos 3 Rissa, Arcana Klau 4 Rivera, Daniel 5 Rohaeni, tatat Sri 6 Roman, Simplicio 7 Ruelas, Marlene 8 Sagala, Yanti 9 Salamera, Emmanuel 10 Salamera, Romulo 11 Setiawati, Budi 12 Simbiak, Deborah Ferra 13 Smalls, Justin Alexande 14 Soberanes, Juana 15 Somintac, Gloria 16 Somintac, Reginald 17 Sugiarto, Feri 18 Sunaryo, Cindy 19 Tan, Penny 20 Taswin, Evy Antaria 21 Tenebroso, Esther 22 Teves, Rafaeli 23 Thomas, Tamico 24 Toro, Gloria 25 Ugeh, Kenneth 26 Vergara, Elizabeth 27 Vidal, Cosme

Vides, Angel

28

Filed 01/09/25 Page 21 of 21 Page ID

case 2:25-cv-00232-CAS-MAR